



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

CM

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/214,875	04/19/99	MANHES	H 02581P0045A

QM32/0714

WESLEY W WHITMYER JR  
ST ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS  
986 BEDFORD STREET  
STAMFORD CT 06905-5619

EXAMINER

LEWIS, W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3731

DATE MAILED:

07/14/00

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/214,875

Applicant(s)

Manhes

Examiner

William Lewis

Group Art Unit

3731



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William Lewis

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Wesley Whitmyer (Rep)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 13, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Taylor (US Patent 5,279,564)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Whitmyer pointed out that support for the pivotable parts each having a blade portion on page 3, lines 21-25.

Pointed out that during translation, it appears as if the term "pierce" was inserted instead of "cutting". Pointed out that the Taylor reference does not "cut" the incision required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

WILLIAM W. LEWIS

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.